UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.
10/810,903	03/29/2004	Yukihiro Kubo	1163-0502PUS1	1089
	7590 03/10/201 ART KOLASCH & BI	EXAMINER		
PO BOX 747	CH 3/A 22040 0747	SHARMA, SUJATHA R		
FALLS CHURC	CH, VA 22040-0747		ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER
			2618	
			NOTIFICATION DATE	DELIVERY MODE
			03/10/2010	ELECTRONIC

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

The time period for reply, if any, is set in the attached communication.

Notice of the Office communication was sent electronically on above-indicated "Notification Date" to the following e-mail address(es):

mailroom@bskb.com

Advisory Action Before the Filing of an Appeal Brief

Application No.	Applicant(s)	
10/810,903	KUBO, YUKIHIRO	
Examiner	Art Unit	
SUJATHA SHARMA	2618	

	SUJATHA SHARMA	2618	
The MAILING DATE of this communication appe	ears on the cover sheet with t	he correspondence add	ress
THE REPLY FILED 05 February 2010 FAILS TO PLACE THIS	APPLICATION IN CONDITION	FOR ALLOWANCE.	
1. The reply was filed after a final rejection, but prior to or on application, applicant must timely file one of the following application in condition for allowance; (2) a Notice of Application for Continued Examination (RCE) in compliance with 37 Comperiods:	replies: (1) an amendment, afficeal (with appeal fee) in complian	davit, or other evidence, w nce with 37 CFR 41.31; or	hich places the (3) a Request
 a) The period for reply expiresmonths from the mailing b) The period for reply expires on: (1) the mailing date of this A no event, however, will the statutory period for reply expire I Examiner Note: If box 1 is checked, check either box (a) or MONTHS OF THE FINAL REJECTION. See MPEP 706.07(Advisory Action, or (2) the date set for ater than SIX MONTHS from the ma (b). ONLY CHECK BOX (b) WHEN	ailing date of the final rejection	n.
Extensions of time may be obtained under 37 CFR 1.136(a). The date have been filed is the date for purposes of determining the period of ex under 37 CFR 1.17(a) is calculated from: (1) the expiration date of the set forth in (b) above, if checked. Any reply received by the Office later may reduce any earned patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b) NOTICE OF APPEAL	tension and the corresponding amo shortened statutory period for reply than three months after the mailing	ount of the fee. The appropria originally set in the final Offic	ate extension fee e action; or (2) as
2. The Notice of Appeal was filed on A brief in comp filing the Notice of Appeal (37 CFR 41.37(a)), or any exte Notice of Appeal has been filed, any reply must be filed w AMENDMENTS	nsion thereof (37 CFR 41.37(e)), to avoid dismissal of the	
3. The proposed amendment(s) filed after a final rejection, (a) They raise new issues that would require further co (b) They raise the issue of new matter (see NOTE belo (c) They are not deemed to place the application in being appeal; and/or	nsideration and/or search (see low); tter form for appeal by materially	NOTE below); / reducing or simplifying tl	
(d) ☐ They present additional claims without canceling a NOTE: (See 37 CFR 1.116 and 41.33(a)). 4. ☐ The amendments are not in compliance with 37 CFR 1.1		•	PTOL-324)
 5. Applicant's reply has overcome the following rejection(s) 6. Newly proposed or amended claim(s) would be all non-allowable claim(s). 	<u>:</u> .		
7. For purposes of appeal, the proposed amendment(s): a) how the new or amended claims would be rejected is protected. The status of the claim(s) is (or will be) as follows: Claim(s) allowed: Claim(s) objected to: Claim(s) rejected: 1,3 and 6-8. Claim(s) withdrawn from consideration:		will be entered and an ex	xplanation of
AFFIDAVIT OR OTHER EVIDENCE			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after a final action, but because applicant failed to provide a showing of good answas not earlier presented. See 37 CFR 1.116(e). 			
 The affidavit or other evidence filed after the date of filing entered because the affidavit or other evidence failed to of showing a good and sufficient reasons why it is necessary 	overcome <u>all</u> rejections under ap	peal and/or appellant fail:	s to provide a
10. ☐ The affidavit or other evidence is entered. An explanatio REQUEST FOR RECONSIDERATION/OTHER		·	
11. The request for reconsideration has been considered bu See attachment.			ce because:
12. ☐ Note the attached Information <i>Disclosure Statement</i>(s).13. ☐ Other:	(PTO/SB/08) Paper No(s)	_	
	/Sujatha Sharma/ Primary Examiner, A 2/26/10	rt Unit 2618	

Continuation Sheet (PTO-303)

Application No.

The applicant argues that the Kushita reference does not teach a method where the second terminal detects the presence of the first terminal in the holder and receiving a signal that a first terminal is connected to the holder and thus establishing an automatic Bluetooth connection between the second terminal and the first terminal when the signal is received by the second terminal.

The examiner respectfully disagrees. Kushita reference discloses

- a first terminal with a short range communication module is connected to the holder. See col. 9, lines 18,19.
- said first terminal having a detector for detecting whether or not said first terminal is set to said holder, and for outputting a set signal when detecting that said first terminal is set to said holder, see col. 4, lines 18-37 where the holder or cradle 205 of the automobile system 200 holds the portable telephone and has a detection method to detect the presence of the portable phone in the holder or cradle and a control signal is set between the portable phone and the automobile system thus setting an automatic connection between the first terminal and the second terminal.
- -said second control unit of said second terminal starts said second wireless connection interface in response to the set signal sent thereto from said detector, and establishes a wireless connection between said first terminal and said second terminal; see col. 8, lines 32- col. 9, line 27. Here the when the portable phone is in the cradle, a control signal is set and is indicated to the automobile system and an automatic connection is then establ; ished between the automobile system and the phone and the phone to the drive mode i.e. to disable the phone for speech communication. See also col. 1, lines 5-45.

The secondary reference Numata teaches the use of Bluetooth module for short range communication.

The reference Lilja teaches a method wherein when the phone is placed in the holder or cradle the phone interface detects the presence of the phone in the holder and then the charging circuitry regulates and charges the mobile phone placed in the holder and thus powers the mobile unit to allow for the communication with the master electronic system of the automobile.

Therefore Kushita in combination with Numata and Lilja meets all the claimed limitation of independent claims 1 and 6.